UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA MIAMI DIVISION

CASE NO.:

WINDY LUCIUS,
Plaintiff,
v.
PUBBELLY HOLDINGS, LLC

Defendant.

COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

Plaintiff Windy Lucius ("Plaintiff") hereby sues Defendant Pubbelly Holdings, LLC ("Defendant"), a Florida limited liability company, for Injunctive Relief, attorney's fees, litigation expenses and costs pursuant to Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§s 12181-12189 ("ADA"), 28 C.F.R. Part 36, *et seq*.

- 1. Venue lies in the Southern District of Florida pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and Local Rule 3.1, in that the original transaction or occurrence giving rise to this cause of action occurred in this District.
- 2. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 28 U.S.C. § 1343, this Court has been given original jurisdiction over actions which arise from Defendant's violations of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq. See* also 28 U.S.C. § 2201 and § 2202.
- 3. Plaintiff is a Florida resident, lives in Miami-Dade County, is *sui juris*, and qualifies as an individual with disabilities as defined by the ADA. Plaintiff is legally blind and a member of a protected class under the ADA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12102(1)-(2), the regulations implementing the ADA set forth at 28 CFR §§ 36.101 *et seq.* and in 42 U.S.C. 3602, §802(h). Plaintiff is substantially

limited in the major life activity of seeing. Plaintiff's disability is defined in 28 C.F.R. §36.105(b)(2).

- 4. Plaintiff uses the internet and a mobile device to help her navigate a world of goods, products and services like the sighted. The internet, websites and mobile applications provide her a window into the world that she would not otherwise have. She brings this action against Defendant for offering and maintaining a mobile website (software that is intended to run on mobile devises such as phones or tablet computers) that is not fully accessible and independently usable by visually impaired consumers. Plaintiff utilizes the Apple Screen Reader VoiceOver software to read computer materials and/or access and comprehend internet mobile website information which is specifically designed for the visually impaired.
- 5. Plaintiff is also an advocate of the rights of similarly situated disabled persons and is a "tester" for the purpose of asserting her civil rights and monitoring, ensuring, and determining whether places of public accommodation and/or their mobile websites are in compliance with the ADA.
- 6. On information and belief, Defendant is the owner and operator of the https://www.pubbellyglobal.com website and mobile website for Pubbelly Sushi brand restaurants¹. Each Pubbelly Sushi brand restaurant is owned and operated by a separate company which have a commonality of officers, directors. Further, the registered agent for three of the five Pubbelly Sushi restaurant locations is Defendant Pubbelly Holdings, LLC. On information and belief, Defendant is a either the parent to each of the individual restaurant corporate entities (subsidiaries), or is a brother/sister corporation to the corporate entities which own and operate the

¹ While the https://www.pubbellyglobal.com website and mobile websites do not have a Privacy Policy section nor any other ownership identity, on information and belief, Defendant is owner and operator, as further delineated within the complaint

five Pubbelly Sushi brand restaurants which are:

- Pubbelly, LLC which is the operator of the Pubbelly Sushi restaurant located at 14220 20th Street, Miami Beach, Florida 33139;
- Pubbelly Sushi which is the operator of the Pubbelly Sushi restaurant located within Brickell City Center at 701 S Miami Avenue, Miami Florida 33130;
- iii. PBS Dadeland LLC which is the operator of the Pubbelly Sushi restaurant located at 8970 SW 72nd Place, Miami, Florida 33156;
- iv. Pubbelly Sushi, LLC which is the operator of the Pubbelly Sushi restaurant located within the Doral at Shoma Bazaar complex, specifically at 9420 NW 41st Street, Doral, Florida 33178; and
- v. Pubbelly Sushi, LLC which is the operator of the Pubbelly Sushi restaurant located at 19656 Biscayne Boulevard, Aventura, Florida 33180.

All five Pubbelly Sushi restaurant locations are listed within the https://www.pubbellyglobal.com mobile website.

- 7. Each of the five Pubbelly Sushi brand restaurants are located within Miami-Dade County and are open to the public. Each restaurant is a Place of Public Accommodation subject to the requirements of Title III of the ADA and its implementing regulation defined by 42 U.S.C. §12181(7)(B), §12182, and 28 C.F.R. §36.104(2). The five Pubbelly Sushi restaurants are also referenced throughout as "place(s) of public accommodation," "Pubbelly Sushi restaurant(s)," or "restaurant(s)."
- 8. On information and belief, subsequent to the effective date of the ADA, Defendant constructed, or caused to be constructed, the https://www.pubbellyglobal.com mobile website (hereinafter "mobile website") for the general public to access on their mobile devices (phones,

tablets). This mobile website supports, is an extension of, is in conjunction with, is complementary and supplemental to, Pubbelly Sushi restaurants. The mobile website delineates the goods, services, accommodations, privileges, benefits and facilities available to patrons at each restaurant physical location.

- 9. The mobile website is offered by Defendant as a way for the public to become familiar with the five Pubbelly Sushi restaurant locations, hours of operation and menu selections based on each of the five restaurant locations. The mobile website also provides a link to reserve a table for dining within each restaurant location. The mobile website enables the public/patrons to place an order online for delivery to the public/patron's home or to order online meals or party platters for pick up at each of the five Pubbelly Sushi restaurant locations. In addition, the mobile website offers the general public the ability to sign up for the Pubbelly Sushi newsletter which will allow the public to receive news of special prices and promotions, and also provides other information the Defendant seeks to communicate to the public. The mobile website also offers the public the ability to purchase a gift card to be used for dining at any of the five Pubbelly Sushi restaurants online. By the provision of menu selection, reservation services, to-go order selection, and the ability to purchase a gift card online, the mobile website is an integral part of the goods and services offered by Pubbelly Sushi restaurants. By this nexus, the mobile website is characterized as a Place of Public Accommodation to Title III of the ADA², 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181(7)(B) & (E) and 28 C.F.R. §§ 36.104(2) & (5).
 - 10. Defendant's mobile website allows mobile device users to use a mobile platform

² "The Department of Justice has long taken the position that both State and local government Websites and the websites of private entities that are public accommodations are covered by the ADA. In other words, the websites of entities covered by both Title II and Title III of the statute are required by law to ensure that their sites are fully accessible to individuals with disabilities." (See: Statement of Eve Hill Senior Counselor to the Assistant Attorney General for the Civil Rights Department of Justice - Before the Senate Committee on Health, Education, Labor & Pensions United States Senate – Concerning The Promise of Accessible Technology: Challenges and Opportunities – Presented on February 7, 2012.

through a connection to Wi-Fi or cellular data so that users can manage their dining choice from their mobile device. As such, Defendant has subjected itself to the ADA because its mobile website is offered as a tool to promote, advertise and sell products and services from Pubbelly Sushi brick-and-mortar restaurant locations, each of which is a place of public accommodation. As a result, Defendant's mobile website must interact with Pubbelly Sushi restaurants and the public, and in doing so must comply with the ADA, which means it must not discriminate against individuals with disabilities and may not deny full and equal enjoyment of the goods and services afforded to the general public.³

- 11. Defendant's mobile website does not properly interact with the VoiceOver screen reader software technology in a manner that allows blind and visually impaired individuals to comprehend the mobile website and does not provide other means to accommodate blind and visually impaired individuals.
- 12. Plaintiff has attempted to test and/or patronize Defendant's mobile website in the past and intends to continue to make further attempts to patronize Defendant's mobile website. Like the seeing community, she would like the opportunity to be able to use Defendant's mobile website to comprehend the restaurant menu selections, sign up for the Pubbelly newsletter, order gift cards online, make reservations to dine in the Pubbelly Sushi restaurants, and to order food online. However, unless Defendant is required to eliminate the access barriers at issue and required to change its policies so that access barriers do not reoccur, Plaintiff will continue to be denied full and equal access to the mobile website as described and will be deterred from fully using Defendant's mobile website or dining at each of the five Pubbelly Sushi restaurant locations.

³ According to Statista, almost half of web traffic in the United States originated form mobile devices in 2021. Therefore, Defendant knew or should have known that potential customers would be using the mobile version of its website and provided accessibility for blind users.

- 13. Plaintiff has attempted to utilize the mobile website and/or plans to continue to attempt to utilize the mobile website in the near future. In the alternative, Plaintiff intends to monitor the mobile website in the near future, as a tester, to ascertain whether it has been updated to interact properly with VoiceOver screen reader software.
- 14. Plaintiff is continuously aware of the violations on Defendant's mobile website and is aware that it would be a futile gesture to attempt to utilize the mobile website as long as those violations exist unless she is willing to suffer additional discrimination.
- 15. Defendant and alike restaurants are fully aware of the need to provide full access to all visitors to its mobile website as such barriers result in discriminatory and unequal treatment of individuals with disabilities who are visually impaired and result in punishment and isolation of blind and low vision individuals from the rest of society.
- 16. Plaintiff has no plain, adequate, or complete remedy at law to redress the wrongs alleged herein and this action is her only means to secure adequate redress from Defendant's discriminatory practice.
- 17. Notice to Defendant is not required as a result of Defendant's failure to cure the violations. Enforcement of the rights of Plaintiff is right and just pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 2201, 2202.
- 18. Plaintiff has been obligated to retain the civil rights law office of J. Courtney Cunningham, PLLC and has agreed to pay a reasonable fee for services in the prosecution of this cause, including costs and expenses incurred. Plaintiff is entitled to recover those attorney's fees, costs and expenses from Defendant pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §12205 and 28 CFR §36.505.

COUNT I – VIOLATIONS OF TITLE III OF THE ADA

19. The ADA and implementation of ADAAG requires that Public Accommodations

(and Places of Public Accommodation) are required to ensure that communication is effective, which includes the provision of auxiliary aids and services for such purpose.

- 20. According to 28 C.F.R. Section 36.303(b)(1), auxiliary aids and services includes "voice, text, and video-based telecommunications products and systems." 28 C.F.R. Section 36.303(b)(2) specifically states that (VoiceOver) screen reader software is an effective method of making visually delivered material available to individuals who are blind or have low vision.
- 21. 28 C.F.R. Section 36.303(c)(1)(ii) specifically states that public accommodations must furnish appropriate auxiliary aids and services where necessary to ensure effective communication with individuals with disabilities. "In order to be effective, auxiliary aids and services must be provided in accessible formats, in a timely manner, and in such a way as to protect the privacy and independence of the individual with a disability."
- 22. Part 36 of Title 28 of the C.F.R. was designed and is implemented to effectuate subtitle A of Title III of the ADA, which prohibits discrimination on the basis of disability by public accommodations and requires places of public accommodation to be designed, constructed, and altered in compliance with the accessibility standards established by Part 36.
- 23. Defendant's mobile website has been designed to integrate with Pubbelly Sushi brick-and-mortar restaurants through provision of a reservation service and the provision of a togo and delivery service order menus; therefore, the mobile website is an extension of Pubbelly Sushi Places of Public Accommodation. By and through its mobile website, Defendant extends Pubbelly Sushi Places of Public Accommodation into individual persons' homes and portable devices wherever located. The mobile website is a service, facility, privilege, advantage, benefit and accommodation of Pubbelly Sushi Places of Public Accommodation. As such, Defendant's mobile website is integrated with, and is a nexus to, Pubbelly Sushi brick-and-mortar locations.

Therefore, it is governed by the following provisions:

- a. U.S.C. Section 12182(a) provides: "No individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any person who owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public accommodation."
- b. 42 U.S.C. Section 12182(b)(1)(A)(i) provides: "It shall be discriminatory to subject an individual or class of individuals on the basis of a disability or disabilities of such individual or class, directly, or through contractual, licensing, or other arrangements, to a denial of the opportunity of the individual or class to participate in or benefit from the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of an entity[.]"
- c. 42 U.S.C. Section 12182(b)(1)(A)(ii) provides: "It shall be discriminatory to afford an individual or class of individuals, on the basis of a disability or disabilities of such individual or class, directly, or through contractual, licensing, or other arrangements with the opportunity to participate in or benefit from a good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation that is not equal to that afforded to other individuals[.]"
- d. 42 U.S.C. Section 12182(b)(1)(A)(ii) provides: "It shall be discriminatory to provide an individual or class of individuals, on the basis of a disability or disabilities of such individual or class, directly, or through contractual, licensing, or other arrangements with a good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation that is different or separate from that provided to other individuals, unless such action is necessary to provide the individual or class of individuals with a good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation, or other opportunity that is as effective as that provided to others[.]"
 - e. 42 U.S.C. Section 12182(b)(1)(B) provides: "Goods, services, facilities,

privileges, advantages, and accommodations shall be afforded to an individual with a disability in the most integrated setting appropriate to the needs of the individual."

- f. 42 U.S.C. Section 12182(b)(1)(C) provides: "Notwithstanding the existence of separate or different programs or activities provided in accordance with this section, an individual with a disability shall not be denied the opportunity to participate in such programs or activities that are not separate or different."
- g. 42 U.S.C. Section 12182(b)(2)(ii) describes as discrimination: "a failure to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations[.]"
- h. 42 U.S.C. Section 12182(b)(2)(iii) describes as discrimination: "a failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden[.]"
- 24. Plaintiff attempted to access and/or utilize Defendant's mobile website, but was unable to, and she continues to be unable to enjoy full and equal access to the mobile website and/or understand the content therein because numerous portions of the mobile website do not interface with mobile VoiceOver screen reader software. Specifically, features of the mobile website that are inaccessible to VoiceOver screen reader software users include, but are not limited

to, the following (citing the WCAG 2.1 Level A and AA Guidelines):

- i. Guideline 4.1.2 Name, Role Value is violated. The Pubbelly Sushi mobile website is not accessible to mobile VoiceOver screen reader software users. Mobile VoiceOver screen reader software users are only able to hear and open the main menu icon within the main menu section of the mobile website. When mobile VoiceOver screen reader software users open the link in the main menu, the page opens, and the logo, main menu icons and the scroll bar for each page are announced, but then focus moves to the browser's back button and mobile VoiceOver screen reader software users are not able to hear or navigate to any of the content on the menu pages or any of the other pages. The accessibility widget icon never receives focus, does not trigger automatically, and is not announced. Mobile VoiceOver screen reader software users are not able to hear restaurant hours of operation, menu options, make a reservation, place an order online, purchase a gift card, or access the widget.
- 25. As the owner and/or operator of the Pubbelly Sushi restaurant mobile website, Defendant is required to comply with the ADA and the provisions cited above. This includes Defendant's obligation to create and maintain a mobile website that is accessible to and usable by visually impaired persons so that they can enjoy full and equal access to the mobile website and the content therein, including the ability to make a reservation to dine within each of the five Pubbelly Sushi restaurant locations, to order Pubbelly Sushi food "to go" or delivery, and to purchase Pubbelly Sushi gift cards.
- 26. With respect to its mobile website, Defendant has violated the ADA by failing to interface its mobile website with VoiceOver screen reader software utilized by visually impaired individuals (as specifically delineated within paragraph 24) either directly or through contractual, licensing or other arrangements. Defendant's violations have resulted in Defendant denying Plaintiff accommodation on the basis of her disability:
- a. by depriving Plaintiff of the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of its place of public accommodation (42 U.S.C. § 12182(a));
 - b. in the denial of providing Plaintiff the opportunity to participate in or benefit

from the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations (42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(1)(A)(i));

- c. in failing to afford Plaintiff the opportunity to participate in or benefit from a good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation that is equal to that afforded to other individuals (42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(1)(A)(ii));
- d. by providing Plaintiff a good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation that is different or separate from that provided to other individuals (unless such action is necessary to provide the individual or class of individuals with a good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation, or other opportunity that is as effective as that provided to others) (42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(1)(A)(iii));
- e. by failing to afford Plaintiff goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, and accommodations in the most integrated setting appropriate to the needs of the disabled individual (42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(1)(B));
- f. notwithstanding the existence of separate or different programs or activities provided in accordance with this section, by denying Plaintiff the opportunity to participate in such programs or activities that are not separate or different. (42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(1)(C));
- g. by a failure to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities (unless the entity can demonstrate that making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations) (42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(ii)); and,
- h. by a failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that disabled individuals are not excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other

individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services (unless the entity can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden) (42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(iii)).

- 27. Plaintiff continues to attempt to utilize the mobile website and/or plans to continue to attempt to utilize the mobile website as a tester to determine whether it is accessible to persons with vision disabilities.
- 28. Plaintiff is continuously aware of the violations within Defendant's mobile website and is aware that it would be a futile gesture to attempt to utilize the mobile website as long as those violations exist unless she is willing to suffer additional discrimination.
- 29. Plaintiff has suffered (and continues to suffer) frustration and humiliation as the result of the discriminatory conditions present within Defendant's mobile website. By continuing to operate its mobile website with discriminatory conditions, Defendant contributes to Plaintiff's sense of isolation and segregation and deprives Plaintiff the opportunity to have the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges and/or accommodations available to the general public. By encountering the discriminatory conditions within Defendant's mobile website and knowing that it would be a futile gesture to attempt to utilize the mobile website unless she is willing to endure additional discrimination, Plaintiff is deprived of the meaningful choice of freely visiting and utilizing the same accommodations readily available to the general public and is deterred and discouraged from doing so. By maintaining a mobile website with violations, Defendant deprives Plaintiff the equality of opportunity offered to the general public.
- 30. Plaintiff has suffered (and will continue to suffer) direct and indirect injury as a result of Defendant's discrimination until Defendant is compelled to comply with the requirements

of the ADA and conform its mobile website to WCAG 2.1 Level A and AA Guidelines.

- 31. Plaintiff has a realistic, credible, existing and continuing threat of discrimination from Defendant's non-compliance with the ADA with respect to its mobile website. Plaintiff has reasonable grounds to believe that she will continue to be subjected to discrimination in violation of the ADA by Defendant. Plaintiff desires to access the mobile website to avail herself of the benefits, advantages, goods and services therein, and/or to assure herself that this mobile website is in compliance with the ADA so that she and others similarly situated will have full and equal enjoyment of the mobile website without fear of discrimination.
- 32. Plaintiff is without adequate remedy at law and has suffered (and will continue to suffer) irreparable harm. The Plaintiff and all others similarly situated will continue to suffer such discrimination, injury and damage without the immediate relief as requested herein.
- 33. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188, this Court is provided with authority to grant Plaintiff Injunctive Relief, including an order to require Defendant to alter its mobile website to make it readily accessible to and usable by Plaintiff and other persons with vision impairments.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Windy Lucius hereby demands judgment against Defendant Pubbelly Holdings, LLC and requests the following injunctive and declaratory relief:

- a. The Court issue a Declaratory Judgment that determines that Defendant's mobile website is in violation of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C.
 § 12181 et seq.;
- b. The Court issue a Declaratory Judgment that Defendant has violated the ADA by failing to monitor and maintain its mobile website to ensure that it is readily accessible to and usable by persons with vision impairment;
- c. The Court issue an Order directing Defendant to alter its mobile website to make it

accessible to, and useable by, individuals with disabilities to the full extent required

by Title III of the ADA;

d.

The Court issue an Order directing Defendant provide the appropriate auxiliary aids

such that individuals with visual impairments will be able to effectively

communicate with the mobile website for purposes of comprehending Pubbelly

Sushi menu selections, ordering/paying for gift cards, ordering food online for pick

up/delivery, and making reservations, and during that time period prior to the

mobile website's being designed to permit individuals with visual impairments to

effectively communicate, requiring Defendant to provide an alternative method for

individuals with visual impairments to effectively communicate so that disabled

individuals are not impeded from obtaining the goods and services made available

to the public through Defendant's mobile website.

The Court enter an Order directing Defendant to evaluate and neutralize its policies e.

and procedures towards persons with disabilities for such reasonable time so as to

allow Defendant to undertake and complete corrective procedures;

f. The Court enter an Order directing Defendant to continually update and maintain

its mobile website to ensure that it remains fully accessible to and usable by visually

impaired individuals;

g. The Court award attorney's fees, costs and litigation expenses pursuant to 42

U.S.C. § 12205; and,

h. The Court provide such other relief as the Court deems just and proper, and/or is

allowable under Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act.

Dated: March 17, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ J. Courtney Cunningham

J. Courtney Cunningham, Esq. J. COURTNEY CUNNINGHAM, PLLC

FBN: 628166

8950 SW 74th Court, Suite 2201

Miami, Florida 33156 Telephone: 305-351-2014 cc@cunninghampllc.com